UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/731,882	12/09/2003	James Rohl	279.630US1	6739
21186 7590 09/28/2010 SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG & WOESSNER, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, PHONG H	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/28/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Notice of Panel Decision</b>
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/731,882	ROHL ET AL.	
	Art Unit	
PHONG H. NGUYEN	3724	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 15 July	<i>y</i> 2010.
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The F reason(s):</li> </ol>	Request is improper and a conference	will not be held for the following
☐ The request does not inc	s not been filed concurrent with the Pre lude reasons why a review is appropri is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief re	ate.
	nse continues to run from the receipt d mmunication, if no Notice of Appeal ha	
held. The application remains une is required to submit an appeal b brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	nt Appeals and Interferences – A Pr der appeal because there is at least or rief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. h from mailing this decision, or the bal- otice of appeal, whichever is greater. F B7 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail data able.	ne actual issue for appeal. Applicant The time period for filing an appeal ance of the two-month time period urther, the time period for filing of the
The panel has determin Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from con		ws:
	conference has been held. The reject ution on the merits remains closed. N	
	conference has been held. The rejection action is required by applicant at this t	
All participants:		
(1) <u>PHONG H. NGUYEN</u> .	(3) <u>MICHAEL F</u>	PHILLIPS.
(2) <u>BOYER ASHLEY</u> .	(4)	
/Phong H Nguyen/ Examiner, Art Unit 3724 September 22, 2010	/Boyer D. Ashley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3724	/Michael Phillips/ RQAS